

BCF General Assessment

Submission by 28th February 2014

		Confidence that plans will deliver national conditions						General					Outcomes and Metrics Are there realistic but challenging levels of ambition for:					
		Plans jointly agreed	Protection for social care services (not spending)	As part of agreed local plans, 7 day working in health and social care	Better data sharing between health and social care, based on the NHS number	Where funding is used for integrated packages of care, there is an accountable professional	Agreement on consequential impact of BCF plan on the provider sector, including consultation with providers	Confidence that the plan is deliverable	Confidence that plan is affordable	The plan must not have a negative impact on the level and quality of mental health services	The plan includes a clear risk mitigation plan, covering the impact on existing NHS and social care delivery and the steps that will be taken if activity volumes do not change as planned	Patients and the public have been engaged in the development of the plan	Admissions to residential and care homes?	Effectiveness of reablement?	Delayed transfers of care?	Avoidable emergency admissions?	Patient / service user experience?	Local Metric?
LA Code	HWB name	R/A/G (type "R","A" or "G") - see info below table						R/A/G (type "R","A" or "G") - see info below table					Y/N (type "Y" or "N")					
	Barnsley	A	A	A	G	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	N	N	N	N	tbc	N

RAG ratings should be based on the draft plan and wider engagement with the Health and Wellbeing Board, the Area Team and LG reviewers:

- do not believe that this condition has been sufficiently considered. It is unlikely that these concerns can be fully addressed for the submission of the 4 April plan
- are concerned that the 4 April plan will not fully address this condition. With good engagement, there is time for these concerns to be addressed for the 4 April plan.
- are confident that the 4 April plan will fully address this condition.